UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,698	02/13/2001	Lester Sussman		1433	
	63597 7590 11/19/2008 LESTER SUSSMAN			EXAMINER	
9213 BULLS RUN PARKWAY			SHAAWAT, MUSSA A		
BETHESDA, MD 20817-2403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/781,698	SUSSMAN, LESTER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MUSSA A. SHAAWAT	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 I	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-20 and 34-46 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-20 and 34-46 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable above the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examin acceptable are subjected to be acceptable are subjected to be acceptable as a subjected to be acceptable are subjected to be acceptable as acceptable are subjected to be acceptable are subjected to be acceptable as a subjected a	ewn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3627

## Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to amendment filed on 05/13/2008. Claims 14 and 36 have been amended. Claims 1-13 and 21-33 have been previously cancelled. Claims 45-62 have been newly added. Claims 47-62 have been cancelled. Claims 14-20 and

34-46 are pending examination.

2. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 04/03/2008, have been acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 14-20 and 34-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 14 and 36 recite "tracking frequency..."

in part (iv and vi) of claims 14 and 36, the phrase "tracking frequency" renders the claim

indefinite. For the purpose of examination the phrase "tracking frequency" will be

interpreted as best understood by the examiner.

The dependent claims are rejected based on their dependency from rejected independent claims 14 and 36.

5. Also claims 14 and 36 recite "(f) obtaining... (i) <u>printing said stored current</u> <u>shopping list information on a printing device attached to said consumer's first computer... (g) sending said current shopping list.... Said second current shipping products listed ... (h) sending said current shopping list... consumer for pick up....,",</u>

Art Unit: 3627

these recited steps renders the claims indefinite. It is not clear how the information if printed will be sent from consumer's first computer to an optional second store connected to said second network infrastructure, and made available for pickup or shipping. In addition applicant needs to make steps g or h in the alternative, because you can't do both it's either shipping the groceries to consumer's or having it ready for pickup. Applicant is required to make appropriate changes.

The dependent claims are rejected based on their dependency from rejected independent claims 14 and 36.

6. In addition Claims 16 and 38 are rendered indefinite by the use of the trademarked term BLUETOOTH, and by reference to the "Bluetooth radio frequency standard." MPEP § 608.01(v) states, "the examiner should hold the disclosure insufficient and reject on the ground of insufficient disclosure any claims based on the identification of the product merely by trademark or by the name used in trade." Furthermore, the "Bluetooth radio frequency standard" is indefinite, because such a standard may be subject to change over time. Examiner suggests to change the use of the trademark "Bluetooth-enabled" in claims 16 and 38 to recite the following "the portable barcode ... an infrared link and *Short range radio frequency link*".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 14-20 and 34-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petrovich et al, US PG Pub. No. (2003/0061113 A1) referred to hereinafter as Petrovich in view of Suzuki US Patent No. (6,129,274) referred to hereinafter as Suzuki in further view of Ruppert et al US Patent No. (5,424,524) referred to here in after as Ruppert.

As per claim 14, Petrovich teaches a method for creating a consumer's shopping list prior to entering a store, comprising *the steps of*:

- (a) Using a portable barcode scanner (see at least Para 0103);
- (b) scanning a needed product barcode or a needed product coupon barcode using said portable barcode scanner (see at least Para 0103);
- (c) using one or more consumer's first computers comprising the sub-steps of; receiving data from, and transmitting data to, said portable barcode scanner over said consumer's first network infrastructure (see at least Para 0103); storing said data as shopping list information under control of said product barcode or said product coupon barcode, in a memory means (see at least Para 0103-0109, 0118); communicating with a second computer system, over a second network infrastructure to request and to receive information based on said product barcode or said product coupon barcode (see at least Para 0118 0103-0109); displaying a multiplicity of product barcodes or said product coupon barcodes, together with additional shopping list information, on said consumer's first computer display (see at least 0088, claim 78); indicating on said first computer display that said consumer has obtained said shopping list information inhand from said consumer's first computer (see at least Para 0103-0109); and indicating

that said data transmitted to and said data received from said portable barcode scanner

Page 5

has been successfully sent and received (see at least Para 0103-0109);

(d) Transferring said scanned product barcode or said product coupon barcode

to said consumer's first computer, over said first network infrastructure ((see at least

Para 0118, 0103-0109));

(e) Storing said transferred product barcode or said product coupon barcode in a

shopping list database on said consumer's first computer, said shopping list database

includes other product information (see at least Para 0012, 0020, 0068);

(f) Obtaining in-hand said stored shopping list from said consumer's first

computer in order to go to a first store and purchase products listed on said shopping

list, said obtaining in-hand is selected from the group comprising: printing said stored

shopping list on a printing device attached to said consumer's first computer (see at

least Para 0005), transferring said stored shopping list to a consumer's portable

computer device, and using said portable barcode scanner (see at least Para 0012,

0016, 0017, 0103-0109); and

(g) Sending said current shopping list information from said consumer's first

computer to an optional second store connected to said second network infrastructure,

said second store shipping products listed on said shopping list to said consumer,

thereby not requiring said consumer to shop in-store for said products, (see at least

Para 0005, 0103-0109); and

(h) Sending said current shopping list information from said consumer's first

computer to an optional second store connected to said second network infrastructure,

said second store making said products listed on said current shopping list information available to said consumer for pick up, (0103-0109).

Although Petrovich teaches wherein the home computer includes a price lookup table, a prior shopping lists or other scanning data for ordering and other functionality, Petrovich does not expressly teach tracking frequency that said product barcode has been received from said portable barcode scanner over said first network infrastructure; providing a specific notification of previous shopping list information stored in said memory without needing a current scanned entry of one or ore barcodes associated with said previous shopping list information; adding automatically a list of one or more products stored in said previous shopping list information to said current shopping list information at said tracking frequency at said time of creating said current shopping list information.

However Suzuki teaches wherein the processor based on customer's shopping information (i.e. previous shopping list) is able to make purchase recommendations for replenishment items by analyzing the last purchase date of a necessity item from the shopping history (i.e. tracking frequency and providing notification of previous shopping list information stored in memory). For example the processor determines that a substantial period of time had past since the customer last purchased necessities such as milk, butter, eggs, and the like. Accordingly the processor would display a replenshiment item recommendation list to the customer (i.e. adding automatically list of one or more products stored...) (see at least col. 14 lines 1-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skil in the art at te time the invention

was made to incorporate the teachings of Suzuki to the disclosure of Petrovich in order to remind the customer to refill or replenish frequently needed items such as milk eggs etc.

Both Petrovich nor Suzuki do not teache wherein said other product information includes: a product description, a product cost, and an indicator for a required quantity of said product, date and time. However Ruppert teaches wherein said other product information includes: a product description, a product cost, and an indicator for a required quantity of said product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Petrovich in view of Suzuki to include the time and date of when the barcode was scanned, in order to create an efficient shopping list for the customer. In addition, the recording the date and time of when barcode was scanned is well known and old in the art at the time the invention was made as stated in the previous action, and is admitted prior art since applicant failed to properly traverse the Official notice in the previous action. Same motivation as above

As per claim 15, Petrovich teaches a portable barcode scanner of claim 14, wherein said first network infrastructure is a wireless link between said portable barcode scanner and said consumer's first computer (see at least Para 0067).

As per claim 17, Petrovich teaches a portable barcode scanner of claim 14, wherein said first network infrastructure is a wired link between said portable barcode scanner and said consumer's first computer (see at least Para 0067).

As per claim 18, Petrovich teaches a portable barcode scanner of claim 17, wherein said wired link is selected from the group comprising: an RS-232 link, a USB link, a parallel link and an IEEE 1394 link (see at least Para 0132).

As per claim 19, Petrovich teaches a first computer of claim 14 is selected from the group comprising: a personal computer, a personal digital assistant, an Internet appliance, and a cell phone (see at least Abstract, Para 0012-0017).

As per claim 34, Ruppert teaches the portable computer device of claim 14 is selected from the group consisting: a personal digital assistant and a cell phone (see at least Abstract, Para 0012-0017).

As per claim 35, Rupert teaches a shopping list of claim 14 is selected from the group comprising: beauty aids, books, clothing, computer hardware, computer software, computer supplies, drugs, footwear, groceries, gifts, health aids and music (see at least Para 0060 or 0103).

As per claims 20 and 42,Petrovich teaches wherein said second network infrastructure is the internet (see at least Para 0014-0016, 0060).

As per claim 16 and 38, Petrovich teaches wherein said wireless link is selected from the group comprising: an infrared link and a Bluetooth-enabled radio-frequency link (see at least Para 0114 and 0060). In addition Official notice was taken in the previous action that radio frequency using Bluetooth technology is well known and old in the art, since applicant failed to properly traverse the Official notice it becomes admitted prior art by applicant as stated in the previous action..

Art Unit: 3627

9. As per claims 36-37, 39-41, and 43-46, the limitations of claims 36-37, 39-41, and 43-46, are similar to the limitations of claims 14-15, 17-19, and 34-35; therefore the are rejected under the same rationale.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form 892 for cited references.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUSSA A. SHAAWAT whose telephone number is (571)272-2945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mussa A Shaawat/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 October 30, 2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627